

## Role of Social Media in the Development of Research Skills in Students at the University Level

Usama Tahir <sup>1</sup> Saqib Shahzad <sup>2</sup> Hafiz Muhammad Irshadullah <sup>3</sup>

**Abstract:** University students now depend more heavily on social media platforms for their academic research, which has changed their approach to accessing scholarly content, knowledge sharing, and scholarly content interaction. A study investigates social media usage by university students to develop research competencies while evaluating positive and negative features. ResearchGate, along with Google Scholar, LinkedIn, and academic networking sites, gives students quick access to research content as they help researchers network and cooperate on projects. Students face two major obstacles when using these academic platforms: they need to evaluate information reliability and protect their personal data, and they must manage excessive information consistently. A structured questionnaire was distributed to university students using a quantitative research methodology for data collection. It was found that social media helps students get more deeply involved in their research through collaborative learning experiences, which provide simple access to many academic resources. Most students encounter problems distinguishing authoritative sources from fake information, while their privacy concerns prevent them from sharing their work openly. Moreover, students face performance problems in academic material processing due to the excessive quantity of research content they encounter. It is recommended that further research be conducted to examine the extended influence of social media on student academic results while evaluating how artificial intelligence-based analytic tools affect digital research in classes.

**Key Words:** Social Media, Academic Research, University Students, Research Skills, Digital Literacy, Information Credibility

### Introduction

The fast growth of digital technologies has greatly impacted education, especially research methods, among university students. The context of these increasingly adapted technologies, in widening access to the internet and mobile, has calculated advancing from conventional to digital academia. Social media, such as Facebook, Twitter, ResearchGate, LinkedIn and academic networking sites, have changed the conventional academic research for students, including immediate access to scholarly material, cooperative opportunities and discussions with experts (Al-Rahmi et al., 2017). These tools provide a knowledge base and a collaborative forum for critical debate and feedback cycles that advance intellectual development. While these societal norms enable academic community-building, social media platforms function as learning contexts in knowledge acquisition through academic networking (Kimmons & Veletsianos, 2018). They also promote a participatory culture in which learners are co-creators or knowledge rather than passive recipients.

---

<sup>1</sup> M.Phil. Scholar, Department of Education, Abdul Wali Khan University, Mardan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.  
Email: [tahirusama579@gmail.com](mailto:tahirusama579@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Education, Abdul Wali Khan University, Mardan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.  
Email: [saqib@awkum.edu](mailto:saqib@awkum.edu)

<sup>3</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Education, Abdul Wali Khan University, Mardan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.  
Email: [drirshad@awkum.edu.pk](mailto:drirshad@awkum.edu.pk)

This is a great advantage of social media; it diminishes the geographic and institutional barriers and allows students to reach researchers and scholars all over the world. This enables the sharing of different viewpoints and progresses interdisciplinary cooperation, which is a crucial factor when it comes to solving multifaceted global issues (Bennett, Maton & Kervin, [2017](#)). Platforms like ResearchGate and Academia. Education engages students in their quest for research papers, getting reviews for their work and fostering them to disseminate with experts, thereby aiding them in their academics. Real-time feedback and the availability of a vast archive of academic articles improve the quality and reliability of student research. Furthermore, networking, posting research discoveries, and connecting with relevant thought leaders are encouraged on Twitter and LinkedIn (Manca & Ranieri, [2016](#)). They can also double as virtual curricula vitae that highlight a student's studies and accomplishments, potentially boosting their employability and academic profile.

However, distractions from unrelated, non-academic content and concerns about data privacy complicate the effective application of social media research (Bennett et al., [2017](#)). Entertainment-related entities determine the majority of what students are exposed to, which may distract them from their own work, decreasing their productivity and availability for academic goals. Student research interactions remain limited to their friends and family, along with professors, because social media companies use personal data and base their algorithms on biases and surveillance threats, which causes students to avoid online research engagement (Tufekci, [2015](#)). Research needs to investigate systematically how social media centres support university students in their research skills development. The study of student interactions with digital curricula will lead to improved knowledge of ongoing academic research developments within the modern digital age.

### **Statement of the Problem**

This study aims to examine how social media-affects research engagement, collaboration and skill development of university students, alongside challenges faced by students. Through exploring both for and against — (i.e., enablers and inhibitors) effective usage of social media in academic research, it is intended that this will aid in the creation of more directed interventions that may popularize digital academicism. Their insights may help institutions devise policies and training initiatives that foster responsible, effective use of these tools for academic ends.

### **Significance of the Study**

This reporting is important in several ways. First, it adds to the emerging literature on digital learning by considering the influence of social media on how students conduct research. Table of Contents As a result of social media, philanthropy is expanding and is being integrated into higher education. As higher education continues to move toward digital platforms, the way students engage with social media as part of working on academic work needs to be better understood to improve learning outcomes. Given the inclusive, critical, and narrative quality of such engagement, this work contributes to pedagogy in the discussion of technology-enhanced learning, specifically around how educational institutions need to be more purposeful and critical in their approach to digital tools when it comes to academic life. X, and second, the findings can assist educators as they devise strategies for the integration of social media into curricula to enhance students' research skills and digital literacy. Appropriate use of social media integrated into classroom instructions is wayfaring, preventing to facilitate communication, collaborative learning and student motivation.

### **Research Objectives**

1. To examine the impact of social media on student engagement in research activities.
2. To analyze how social media facilitates collaborative research efforts among students.
3. To identify the challenges and limitations of social media in academic research.

### **Research Questions**

1. How does social media influence student engagement in research activities at the university level?
2. In what ways does social media facilitate collaboration among students during the research process?
3. What challenges do students encounter when utilizing social media for academic research?

## Literature Review

Social media revolutionized academic research and opened up more dynamic opportunities for university students in research and social media opportunities for knowledge sharing and engagement. Social media tools provide access to research articles, expert reviews, and discussions and can complement students' research skills (Al-Rahmi et al., [2017](#)). The emergence of cutting-edge digital technologies and the increasing trend of social media use in academic and research atmospheres have facilitated student interactions with peers, faculty, and industry experts worldwide. It offers an interactive and user-friendly space for students to respond to contemporary research, debate scholars, and seek help with their methodologies. Various social media platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, LinkedIn, and ResearchGate can provide networking opportunities that may enable scholars to share research findings (Manca & Ranieri, [2016](#)).

Moreover, social media has also played a great role in academic research, where students use it to gather information, conduct literature reviews and analyze data. Social media keeps students up to date on recent events within the classrooms and various scenarios in the field compared to the traditional method of research (Kapoor et al., [2018](#)). They include using social media as a tool for the dissemination of research findings and for engaging a wider academic audience (Coiro et al., [2022](#)). One of the benefits of using social media for academic purposes is that students will improve their analytical and critical thinking skills because in social media, there will always be topics that will be discussed in interdisciplinary and this active discussion will be exposed.

McNaught and Lam ([2010](#)) reported that students who regularly participate in social media-based academic discussions show a greater degree of research competence and self-efficacy. They further explain that digital platforms foster a networked learning environment that allows students to broaden their research through collaborative learning. Social media may hold some benefits for academic research, but it brings along a capital of problems like information overload, credibility issues, and distractions. However, the proliferation of information through social media channels means students need to build critical thinking skills to identify quality sources (Bennett et al., [2017](#)).

The geographical, financial, and institutional constraints on traditional research processes have long allowed very few students to access the things they need to succeed or learn — academic networks, for example. Fortunately, these challenges were significantly mitigated by social media, which provide free and open access to research materials, discussion experts and collaborative opportunities all over the world (Kapoor et al., [2018](#)). Although there has been considerable research on social media and education, some things have not been addressed. Despite the finding by numerous studies that social media serves to enhance student engagement, knowledge sharing, and research skills, what empirical evidence there is about the Socio-educational impact of social media?

## Conceptual Framework of the Study

Students can find a plethora of research materials through social media platforms, comprising journals, publications, and conference proceedings. Websites like ResearchGate Academia.edu and Google Scholar allow students to download and share academic publications, typically circumventing traditional paywalls. This enables students' immediate access to scholarly content so they can conduct literature reviews faster, as well as follow up on new developments in their fields of study (Al-Rahmi et al., [2017](#)).

Here are a few things that you can do on social media to help you in your research: Social media helps in collaborative research because it facilitates interaction/knowledge sharing among students, faculty members, and industry professionals. Interdisciplinary academic discourse is facilitated in virtual academic communities like LinkedIn groups and Facebook research forums which embrace a more holistic epistemic perspective on knowledge sharing (Manca & Ranieri, [2016](#)). Teamwork is facilitated by the use of collaborative tools such as Google Docs and Slack, which allows- students to co-author papers and jointly analyse data. Such partnerships can produce better-quality research outputs and also help build better professional networks (Kapoor et al., [2018](#)).

## Theoretical Framework

Constructivism emphasizes that knowledge is constructed through social interaction and collaborative learning. As Vygotsky ([1978](#)) asserts, peer engagement is crucial to cognitive construction, and students learn better when others



provoke them to think purposefully. The ZPD describes the distance between what a learner can do independently and what they can do with some guidance from a more knowledgeable individual; with appropriate support, they can achieve higher levels of cognitive development. Social media sites are digital spaces that act as extended learning environments in the 21st century—environments where both spontaneous and electric conversations can occur both synchronously and asynchronously.

## Research Methodology

The quantitative method was used by the researchers because it provides objective quantitative outcomes for the entire population according to Dillman et al. (2014). All students enrolled in Abdul wali Khan university Mardan (AWKUM) Garden Campus contributed to the research population including both students of both Undergrad and higher studies (MS/MPhil & PhD). Stratified random sampling was used to obtain students from various educational backgrounds. The research involved selecting 300 participants as sample participants.

The researcher obtained primary data by creating an online survey that assessed students' views about social media tools for developing research competencies. The research instrument included questions regarding demographics in addition to social media activity frequency and a survey measuring student agreement toward specific research statements. The researcher employed both descriptive and inferential statistics using correlation and regression methods to study the relationship between social media usage and research abilities. The reliability of the questionnaire was tested using Cronbach's Alpha, achieving a score above 0.7, indicating high internal consistency. Validity was ensured by aligning the questionnaire items with existing literature on social media and academic research.

## Data Analysis and Interpretation

### Objective 1: To Examine the Impact of Social Media on Student Engagement in Research Activities

**Table 1**

*Influence of Social Media on Staying Updated with Research Trends*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
Social media helps me stay updated with the latest research trends and topics.	100	3.82	0.91

Research by male participants found social media to be vital for research trend updates ( $M = 3.82$ ,  $SD = 0.91$ ) as per Table 1. Real-time updates about recent developments become possible through the combination of Twitter, LinkedIn, and ResearchGate platforms, according to this research result. The research of Greenhow and Lewin (2016) shows that social media enables quick access to academic breakthroughs and scholarly news, which leads to better academic awareness.

**Table 2**

*Social Media and Participation in Research Discussions*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
Social media platforms encourage me to actively participate in research discussions	100	3.67	0.88

The data in Table 2 indicates that students mainly endorse social media as a tool for academic discussion participation ( $M = 3.67$ ,  $SD = 0.88$ ). Student participation on educational platforms like Facebook and Reddit, together with YouTube, becomes possible as these platforms let users communicate with each other through interactions. Manca and Ranieri (2017) demonstrate that social media serves as a tool for learners to share knowledge and cooperate actively according to their research.

**Table 3***Use of Social Media to Access Academic Materials*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
I use social media to access research articles, journals, and other academic materials.	100	3.74	0.85

The students demonstrated agreement through their responses on Table 3 regarding social media use for academic content retrieval ( $M = 3.74$ ,  $SD = 0.85$ ). Scholarly resources find benefits and uses within various student groups and pages that exist on social media. According to Veletsianos and Kimmons (2012) students leverage these platforms to search and obtain academic materials thus improving research work efficiency and accessibility.

**Table 4***Impact of Social Media on Interest in Conducting Research*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
The use of social media has increased my interest in conducting research.	100	3.59	0.95

Students hold a moderate agreement on how social media affects their interest in research ( $M = 3.59$ ,  $SD = 0.95$ , according to Table 4). The combination of informative videos with online research forums and success stories creates situations which make people curious about the investigation, leading to increased motivation. Studies show that digital participation boosts academic motivation as well as drives students to develop a greater interest in academic subjects, according to Junco et al. (2012).

### **Objective 2: To Analyze the Role of Social Media in Facilitating Collaborative Research Among Students**

The objective is to analyse how social media tools enhance cooperation between students during their research activities. The examination of collaborative aspects within student research involves peer interaction as well as academic group participation and feedback sharing with students utilizing collaborative tools for academic purposes. The analysis includes detailed tables of individual items and subsequent discussions using participant feedback and supporting academic studies.

**Table 5***Social Media and Peer Connection for Research Discussion*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
Social media platforms enable me to connect with peers and discuss research-related topics.	100	3.85	0.84

Table 5 shows that respondents agree that social media facilitates connection and discussion with university students rate their assessment of research collaboration with peers as 3.85 on average with standard deviation of 0.84. Researchers benefit from two communication modes on these platforms because they support real-time discussions along with delayed exchanges of messages. Social media provides an interactive setting that enables academic peer dialogue.

**Table 6***Online Academic Groups and Research Collaboration*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
Online academic groups and forums on social media have improved my research collaboration.	100	3.78	0.89

Research collaboration expresses positive effects because of academic groups and forums according to Table 6 ( $M = 3.78$ ,  $SD = 0.89$ ). Academic groups establish structured sites where participants can exchange ideas together with

materials and direction. The research conducted by Quan-Haase and Young (2010) demonstrated that digital communities function as essential elements for developing research networks which facilitate collective learning.

**Table 7**

*Feedback Exchange on Social Media*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
I use social media to share and receive feedback on my research work from other students.	100	3.69	0.92

Students generally support the exchange of research feedback through social media platforms, as shown in Table 7, where the mean score stands at 3.69 and the standard deviation is 0.92. Students rely on social media to share their drafts and ideas, as well as surveys, which enable them to obtain brief and pertinent feedback from their peers. Pilotti (2021) explains that digital feedback systems enable better academic results by promoting critical thinking through peer exchanges.

**Table 8**

*Social Media Tools for Group Research Work*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
Social media tools (e.g., Google Docs, WhatsApp, Facebook groups) have made group research work easier.	100	3.91	0.88

According to Table 8, participants expressed the most agreement concerning how embedded collaborative tools in social media help group research tasks ( $M = 3.91$ ,  $SD = 0.88$ ). Such tools actively simplify the process of communication as well as document sharing and task coordination. Pilotti (2021) confirmed digital collaboration tools enhance academic teamwork efficiency according to his research.

**Objective 3: To Identify the Challenges and Limitations of Using Social Media in Academic Research**

The objective reveals what obstacles prevent students from using social media platforms for research purposes. The research process through social media presents students with multiple barriers consisting of distractions as well as authenticity doubts and data security as well as content reliability issues. The analysis includes tables and discussions which present data from the survey items.

**Table 9**

*Impact of Distractions on Research Productivity*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
Distractions from non-academic content on social media affect my research productivity.	100	3.70	0.91

Table 9 The study results show that non-academic social media material leads to moderate disruptions in research productivity among students ( $M = 3.70$ ,  $SD = 0.91$ ). Social media platforms such as Instagram and TikTok provide students with experiences that absorb their focus. According to Junco et al. (2012) multitasking alongside continuous entertainment content exposure leads students to decrease their attention on academics along with reducing time dedicated to scholarly tasks.

**Table 10**

*Difficulty in Finding Credible Research Material*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
I face difficulties in finding credible and authentic research material on social media.	100	3.65	0.87

Table 10 Research findings indicate that students face problems in finding reliable academic sources on social media platforms ( $M = 3.65$ ,  $SD = 0.87$ ). Platform users need to confirm the reliability of their content due to the system's dependence on user submissions. According to Roblyer et.al. (2010) inadequate evaluation methods permit false information to spread extensively in academic online research discussions.

**Table 11**

*Privacy and Data Security Concerns*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
Privacy concerns and data security issues discourage me from sharing research on social media.	100	3.52	0.89

Table 11 Student feelings about data security risks during online research distribution fall in the average range ( $M = 3.52$ ,  $SD = 0.89$ ). The concerns relate to unauthorized content use and identity theft, in addition to data breaches. Roblyer et al. (2010) explained that such problems create doubts among students concerning their confidence when using digital academic resources.

**Table 12**

*Experience with Information Overload*

Statement	N	Mean	Standard Deviation
I experience information overload due to excessive research-related content on social media.	100	3.80	0.94

Table 12 Information overload stands as the most significant difficulty according to survey participants in this section ( $M = 3.80$ ,  $SD = 0.94$ ). Students experience a constant state of overwhelm because new posts and updates and various articles continuously arrive without stopping. According to Junco et al. (2012) proper management of endless information remains crucial to prevent burnout and maintain academic performance quality.

## Findings of the Study

1. **Social Media and Research Engagement:** According to the first research objective, students recognize social media has valuable academic engagement potential. A wealth of research novelties and academic sources and engaging with peers to share expert knowledge can be achieved by means of platform engagement, revealed by student achievement data. Students learn continually through out-of-the-school classroom learning opportunities that make their academic interests more enhanced using these tools.
2. **Social Media and Collaborative Research:** The second objective also decided how much they, the students, need social media to have collaborative research opportunities. The functions in Google Docs, combined with WhatsApp and Facebook groups, help students complete research assignments more quickly by enabling their ability to communicate and share files and allowing for interactive peer evaluation. When it comes to promoting research interaction, researchers found value in both online academic communities and forums.
3. **Challenges and Limitations:** The third objective demonstrated that using social media in academic research brought several disadvantages which affected its effectiveness. Three major difficulties stemming from social media usage included having to deal with excessive information and obstacles posed by non-academic content and privacy worries and doubts about source credibility. The research findings demonstrate why academic environments require both digital competency training and responsible social media operation.

## Recommendations

It was recommended that educational institutions need to organize training sessions about how students can evaluate digital information and protect their privacy alongside learning digital research tools. Universities may develop specific academic social media policies which will help students maintain both effectiveness and reliability in their network-based activities. Faculty members need to actively support team project collaborators through the central advocacy of Google





Workspace and Microsoft Teams because students benefit from these tools in the research process. For future research, it is recommended that additional research about this matter will be possible when investigators proceed with the following steps. Descriptive interviews with students through focus groups help obtain a detailed understanding of their digital learning insertion. Moreover, research should analyze the ways individuals from different fields, as well as male and female students, use social media platforms.



## References

- Al-rahmi, W. M., Zeki, A. M., Alias, N., & Saged, A. A. (2017). Social media and its impact on academic performance among university students. *Anthropologist*, 28(1–2), 52–68. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720073.2017.1317962>
- Bennett, S., Maton, K., & Kervin, L. (2008). The 'digital natives' debate: A critical review of the evidence. *British Journal of Educational Technology: Journal of the Council for Educational Technology*, 39(5), 775–786. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2007.00793.x>
- Coiro, J., Knobel, M., Lankshear, C., & Leu, D. J. (2022). *Handbook of research on new literacies*. Routledge.
- Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2014). *Internet, phone, mail, and mixed-mode surveys: The tailored design method*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Greenhow, C., & Lewin, C. (2016). Social media and education: reconceptualizing the boundaries of formal and informal learning. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 41(1), 6–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2015.1064954>
- Junco, R., Heiberger, G., & Loken, E. (2012). The effect of Twitter on college student engagement and grades. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 27(2), 119–132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2010.00387.x>
- Kapoor, K. K., Tamilmani, K., Rana, N. P., Patil, P., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Nerur, S. (2018). Advances in social media research: Past, present and future. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 20, 531–558. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-017-9810-y>
- Kimmons, R., & Veletsianos, G. (2018). Public Internet data mining methods in instructional design, educational technology, and online learning research. *TechTrends*, 62(5), 492–500. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-018-0307-4>
- Kirschner, P. A., & De Bruyckere, P. (2017). The myths of the digital native and the multitasker. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 67, 135–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.06.001>
- Manca, S., & Ranieri, M. (2013). Is it a tool suitable for learning? A critical review of the literature on Facebook as a technology-enhanced learning environment: Is Facebook a tool suitable for learning? *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 29(6), 487–504. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12007>
- McNaught, C., & Lam, P. (2014). Using Wordle as a supplementary research tool. *The Qualitative Report*. <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2010.1167>
- Pilotti, M. A. (2021). What lies beneath sustainable education? Predicting and tackling gender differences in STEM academic success. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 1671. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041671>
- Quan-Haase, A., & Young, A. L. (2010). Uses and gratifications of social media: A comparison of Facebook and instant messaging. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*, 30(5), 350–361. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0270467610380009>
- Roblyer, M. D., McDaniel, M., Webb, M., Herman, J., & Witty, J. V. (2010). Findings on Facebook in higher education: A comparison of college faculty and student uses and perceptions of social networking sites. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 13(3), 134–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2010.03.002>
- Tufekci, Z. (2015). Algorithmic harms beyond Facebook and Google: Emergent challenges of computational agency. *Colo. Tech. LJ*, 13, 203. <https://scholar.law.colorado.edu/ctlj/vol13/iss2/4/>
- Veletsianos, G., & Kimmons, R. (2012). Networked Participatory Scholarship: Emergent techno-cultural pressures toward open and digital scholarship in online networks. *Computers & Education*, 58(2), 766–774. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.10.001>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes* (Vol. 86). Harvard University Press.